## The Heath Family (NW) Multi Academy Trust PART I Minutes of the Special Secondary Data meeting held on Thursday, 21<sup>st</sup> September 2017 at 9.00 am

		Apologies: Sarah Galbraith, Rob Pritchard, Denise Allen, Wendy Heap, Ruth Hall, Jeremy Barnes		Non attenders:		
						Clerk: Trish Roberts
Ite	ms	Discussion	Action	Who	When	Notes
1.	Welcome	Mr Cook welcomed everyone to the meeting.				
2.	Register of Business Interests	Declaration of Business Interest forms will be emailed out to Board members for completion.	Declaration of Business Interest forms to be:			
			<ul> <li>emailed to MAT Board members</li> </ul>	Clerk	Before half term	
			• completed & returned	Board members	On receipt	
			Register of Business Interests 17-18 to be produced	Clerk	By 07.12.17	
3.	Secondary Standards	Background				
	Report (Draft)	Mr Donnelly reported that the Trust is in a vulnerable				
• E	cuments received: Draft Secondary Performance & Risk Management Report Gep 17 Gummary of 2017 Secondary Headline Performance Measures	position following the results over the summer. Primary school results were mixed, only one school performed well; with the other three performing below national expectations. Secondary school results across all 4 schools were disappointing. Meetings have taken place with Mr Cook, and with the SAILs and it has been agreed				

Secondary Standards	that the Trust know needs to 'put the brakes on' in terms		
Report (Draft) cont'd	of expansion and focus on improving student outcomes		
	across all schools currently in THF. This has therefore		
	resulted in the decision to withdraw from talks with O/S		
	Academy, as we are currently not in a position to support		
	them; in terms of the two primary schools, THF would still		
	like to continue with discussions, as it is felt that they add		
	strength to the primary sector within the Trust.		
	A meeting was due to take place before the summer with		
	the RSC regarding schools that have applied to join THF.		
	This meeting was postponed by the RSC as she wanted to		
	wait until the GCSE results were known. The meeting has		
	been rearranged for next week. During the meeting		
	Mr Donnelly will notify the RSC of the decision in relation		
	to O/S Academy. We have also been notified that		
	although the agenda will still give the opportunity to		
	discuss the 2 primary schools in the pipeline, the main		
	purpose will be to conduct an informal Trust review. Mr		
	Donnelly has not been asked to provide any paperwork		
	but expects that discussion will be wide-ranging along the		
	lines of 'what has happened' and 'what the Trust is going		
	to do so that it does not happen again'.		
	Mr Donnelly explained that as a consequence of the		
	student outcomes over the past two years, in terms of		
	Ofsted all of our schools would be classed as highly		
	vulnerable. (NB: Ofsted had flagged up concerns		
	regarding the dip in GCSE results last year, and following		
	discussions were expecting to see evidence of		
	improvement in the Summer 17 exam results. As this has		
	not been the case it was expected that Ofsted may wish		
	to conduct snap inspections.)		

Secondary Standards				
Report (Draft) cont'd	TPS			
	<b>Performance:</b> Mr Hurren and Mr Donnelly have met with			
	their SLT; discussions revolved around the vulnerable			
	position the school is currently in and what needed to be			
	done to improve the situation. Mr Donnelly believes that			
	the first area to strengthen is Management & Leadership.			
	As a result there have been some changes to the staffing			
	structure whereby Mrs Walker (Principal) will be			
	returning to the QA role, and the day-to-day school			
	management role will move to the Deputy; this then gives			
	Mrs Walker the time to completely focus on school			
	improvement. She is currently working on the school			
	improvement plan and a draft should be ready by next			
	week.			
	Discussions moved on to Performance Management and			
	the importance of setting achievable but challenging			
	targets which add value in terms of School Improvement.			
	Q: It is imperative that staff are set targets that are in			
	line with current education standards, are we confident			
	that the right targets/objectives are being set going			
	forward?			
	A: Yes, this will be a focus for Mrs Walker and her SLT			
	during this Performance Management cycle.			
	Understanding around accountability was not there			
	which has led the school to be in the vulnerable situation			
	it currently finds itself in. The PM culture needs to and			
	will be brought up to standard.			
	1	l l		I and the second

Secondary Standards	Discussion moved on to how the curriculum changes have		
Report (Draft) cont'd	affected the attainment of middle/lower achievers. SR		
	reported that this cohort had become dis-engaged from		
	their learning. The issue is being addressed but it will		
	take time to bring attainment levels up.		
	Sir William Stanier Community School		
	SWS experienced horrendous staffing problems over the		
	year, including losing the whole English department, plus		
	in order to help with budgetary pressures in relation to		
	staffing costs the Principal and Vice Principal have also		
	taken on a teaching timetable. Despite everything there		
	are improvements; including Progress 8 and Progress 7		
	Disadvantaged, attainment on the whole is much too low.		
	Attendance and PA are slowly improving. Mr Hurren		
	reported that Mr Fraser has shown good strategic		
	leadership since taking on the role of Principal, in that he		
	has already had to conduct two staffing reorganisations,		
	however there may well be need to reorganise staffing		
	yet again during the coming year. Ofsted are also due to		
	inspect SWS this year; Mr Hurren reported that based on		
	current data it will go into a category.		
	Mr Donnelly reported that he is due to meet with the		
	Principal of another MAT on 22.09.17. Last term talks		
	had taken place regarding the potential move (which had		
	come about due to the increasing geographical isolation		
	of SWS in relation to the rest of the schools within THF).		
	Mr Donnelly wanted to formally introduce himself to the		
	Principal and to discuss the next steps in relation to the		
	possible move. Mr Donnelly also intended to discuss		
	what needs to be put in place to support SWS, and how		
	the two Trusts could work in partnership to ensure the		

Secondary Standards	best outcomes. Mr Donnelly stated that although due		
Report (Draft) cont'd	diligence processes still need to take place it would be in		
	everyone's best interests if collaboration could start as		
	soon as possible.		
	LHS		
	Mr Hurren reported that he is concerned about LHS. CH		
	has received the least amount of data from LHS out of all		
	of the schools. Progress 8 Disadvantaged and Attendance		
	figures have still not been received. Although LHS has a		
	very good achievement & data manager, and a strong		
	leader of teaching & learning, pastoral leadership is not		
	as strong. LHS is another socio-economically weak		
	school. Science is a massive concern. Ofsted could		
	potentially visit LHS this year, especially given the likely		
	progress figures. LHS are also facing an extremely		
	challenging financial situation. They have set a balanced		
	budget, but to deliver it there is the need to make		
	substantial cuts, which will include a likely reduction in		
	staffing. This then needs to be balanced with school		
	improvement. CH stated that he is less confident with		
	regards to LHS and that there are a series of dialogues to		
	be had. Mr Donnelly explained that even if an Ofsted		
	Inspection didn't happen, the RSC could send in her own		
	inspectors.		
	Q: LHS is located in a high area of deprivation, do we		
	know how other similar schools in the area are		
	performing?		
	A: No, not at present; official figures have yet to be		
	published.		

Secondary Standards Report (Draft) cont'd	Mr Donnelly stated that performance across the Trust is of great concern, especially in light of the meeting next week with the RSC.		
	week with the NSC.		
	Further discussion took place regarding all schools (see		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	PART II)		
4. School Improvement	Mr Donnelly reported that school improvement systems		
Strategy	need finessing; following on from the Standards Report,		
Documents received:	the Trust needs to identify what went wrong and what		
<ul> <li>Academy Improvement</li> </ul>	needs to be done to deliver good schools. The AIP		
Strategy 2017	programme is also in discussion, the Trust needs to be		
	better at targeting support; need and priority is key. Mr		
	Donnelly will be working with the SAILs, to look at ways		
	which will enable the SAILs to gain a much more in-depth		
	knowledge of their schools. This year, the SAILs will also		
	be taking charge of the brokerage of support for schools;		
	the SAILS will be responsible for allocating AIPs to where		
	the need is greatest. This year will also see THF broaden		
	its work in relation to collaboration both within the Trust		
	and further afield. Mr Donnelly would like to approach		
	other outstanding Trusts/schools and see what has		
	worked well for them and see if it could be adapted for		
	THF. Mr Donnelly stated that all schools within THF have		
	aspects of outstanding practice, and therefore it would		
	also be worth looking into the concept of a 'virtual		
	school'. All agreed. Discussion moved onto processes of		
	getting to know our schools well. Mr Donnelly stated		
	that any changes needed to be handled carefully,		
	because if systems are imposed on schools, it takes away		
	accountability from the Principals. Mr Donnelly reported		
	that work is currently under way in relation to Tracking		
	and Monitoring and that the role of the SAILs has also		

School Improvement Strategy cont'd	been re-clarified. The intervention strategy is now in place; LHS, TPS, BWP, SWS and PFPS fall into Category 2 and have therefore been asked to produce recovery plans. Whilst THS does not fully fall into this category they have also been asked to produce a plan.		
	Q: Who assesses the recovery plans? A: CoGs, SAILs & CEO will receive half-termly reports, which will then be reported back to the MAT Standards Committee. This has been discussed with the Chair of the Standard Committee and he is happy with the procedure. The RSC will most probably want to receive the reports as well, so that they can see progress being made.		
	Mr Donnelly also spoke about producing an annual processes flowchart, so that the MAT Board know what is happening and when.		
	Q: How will financial constraints impact on the school improvement strategy?  A: There are no reserves held by the Trust or schools, and therefore there is a need to ensure schools are using their budgets well. TPS is a particular concern in that this year's budget is based on census figures although the new intake figure has increased. We are in talks with the ESFA/DfE regarding whether TPS could be funded on actuals. Mr Donnelly is also due to meet with the finance team to look at why schools are struggling financially.		
	Mrs Ainsworth also raised issues relating to the Sixth Form at The Heath, in that it has been graded RI by		

School Improvement	Ofsted and student numbers have diminished this year.				
School Improvement Strategy cont'd	The Heath are now working in collaboration with OBA to support the students who are still here. Mr Donnelly reported that there could be many reasons for the falling numbers; one being the current sixth form facilities at The Heath (mobile classrooms). Once The Heath have moved into the new building it will be a good opportunity to canvas the students on what they want; the results will then help to plan the future of sixth form provision at The Heath.  Q: Will the new building siphon the Principal's time away from his focus on School Improvement?  A: We are aware of how much management and				
	bedding in a large-scale move like this will require; we have therefore suggested that the MAT Chief Operating Officer is based at The Heath for the first term or so, that way any snagging issues can be managed by him, thereby leaving the Principal to concentrate on School Improvement.				
5. Policy Review:  Pay Policy (Teachers & Support Staff)  Expenses Policy	The policies listed were received and accepted in principle by Board members.  They will also be discussed at JCNC next week. If there are any changes, updated policies will be emailed out to Board members, so that they can be signed off electronically.				
6. Matters arising not included on the Agenda	Mr Donnelly reported that there is a need to complete a review of Governance across the Trust. The review will look at data flow, committee structure, and composition of the Board itself. Mr Donnelly stated that he would also like to explore how other Trusts are organised. This	Governance Conference to be arranged.	Chair of the Board / CEO / Clerk	Autumn Term	

	review will ensure the MAT Board are provided with everything that is needed to fulfil their role. Discussion followed and it was agreed that a MAT Board Development Session be set up so that all of these issues can be discussed in full detail.				
Meeting closed at 11.30 am		These minutes a	are approved as a true	record of the m	neeting
		Signed:	[Peter Cook]		
		Date:			
Page 9			record approval of minu	ites:	
		Date:			